

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/634,827 | Applicant(s) SCHUDOK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Venkataraman Balasubramanian | Art Unit 1624 | |

All Participants:

(1) Venkataraman Balasubramanian.

(2) Joseph Rossi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Counselor authorized deletion of non-elected subject matter in X1 and X2 definitions. Such will be done by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)